

Table of Contents

Premiums and Self-Insured Retentions	1
Coverage	2
Snapshot of US Market Trends	3
Architects & Engineers (A&E)	3
Combinations	4

Premiums and Self-Insured Retentions



Approximately two years ago we first observed a change in professional liability insurers' attitude toward Architects & Engineers (A&E) risks. Several insurers revised their underwriting guidelines and increased premiums and self-insured retentions. Other insurers decided to stop underwriting A&E policies.

After 15 years of a soft market, insurers in both the US and London began to critically review their A&E professional liability books of business. The threat to underwriters' profitability came from several directions:



Initially, **underwriting results** had significantly deteriorated due to the frequency of severe losses.



The **competition** from the soft market had also driven rates down against increasing A&E revenues, meaning that the premiums had not kept pace with exposures.



Finally, the number of **A&E Mergers** has significantly reduced premium volumes from the market.

The general firming of the A&E market was only a precursor to a much more radical hardening of the construction professional liability market for risks outside the US. The recent Lloyd's of London review concluded that non-US professional liability insurance was one of the worst performing classes of business. Over the past nine months, insurers outside the US have reduced capacity, especially in the area of construction professional liability. Of particular concern are the issues surrounding the Grenfell Tower fire in the UK and the revelation that cladding and the fire containment system standards used over the last several decades are inadequate. Although this is not directly a concern for US domestic A&E firms, any risks with global exposures are being re-rated with this extraordinary risk in mind.

We are seeing US A&E rates rise by as much as 5% on "clean" risks but where insurers have claims concerns, the rate increases can be more than 25%. For larger risks with a poor claims history, the most significant increases are being seen on first excess layers, as these are now seen as a "working" layer. Somewhat surprisingly, US contractor rates have remained flat in light of the increasing number of claims and continued shift to Design-Build.

Since April, rates on all lines of professional liability are increasing (e.g., lawyers and accountants). Professional liability underwriters are having to compete for capacity internally because property rates are soaring, and it is likely that the property portfolio – which is a short tail class – will give a quicker return on capital than professional liability. Restricted internal allocations of capacity, plus Lloyd's requirements that there must be a return to profitability without overall portfolio premiums increasing, have left underwriters with limited options. Consequently, the continued pressure to increase self-insured retentions and achieve some rate increase, even on the best risks, is expected to continue.





Coverage



At a time when insurers are looking to implement a conservative approach toward underwriting professional liability risks, we are receiving requests to ameliorate or delete the *Insured vs. Insured* or *Related Parties* exclusions when firms are working in partnership, or participate in joint ventures.

Outside the US, changes or relaxation to these exclusions are not unusual; however, with the market hardening, insurers are now taking a similar view to US policies, and denying a vast proportion of these requests.

The *Insured vs. Insured* and *Related Parties* exclusions become particularly problematic when the joint venture consists of a contractor and a designer. In these arrangements, the JV's project policy will not respond to losses suffered by one partner due to the negligence of the other, nor will the annual program of negligent partner respond in full, if at all, to a claim made by the JV.

Thus, it is imperative that any firm contemplating such a joint venture carefully craft the joint venture agreement to precisely allocate each party's duties, responsibilities, and accountability to the other for losses attributable to the other partner's negligence during the course of the project.

The **Insured vs. Insured** and **Related Parties** exclusions become particularly problematic when the joint venture consists of a contractor and a designer.



Snapshot of US Market Trends

Architects & Engineers

2019 Q1 & Q2 Direction 2019 Q3 & Q4 Outlook Rates are increasing for Architects Rate increases of 10% or more are Pricing/ & Engineers even for insureds with Rates common for insureds with claims. low claims frequency and severity. Excess insurance is still readily available at competitive prices; however, due We believe most firms will be focusing to the increased premiums on primary Limits on maintaining their current limits in and first excess layers, most firms have a changing market. not opted to procure higher limits. With the advent of larger claims, insurers are looking for increased We believe the current trend Deductibles/ retentions, and firms are considering will continue, especially with claims Retentions higher retentions to offset premium inflation running at 3% per year. increases. Neutral, as previous enhancements No changes are expected Coverage are being evaluated by insurers. in the near future. As rates increase, we are hearing Overall capacity remains stable, that new insurers are considering Capacity/ but reduced appetite for primary entering this space, but this may only **Appetite** and first excess business. replace capacity that has already left the market. Losses are increasing with more claims coming from Design-Build contractors Losses are increasing in severity Losses where there has been under-design and frequency. at the bid stage and insufficient contingencies built in by contractors.

Snapshot of US Market Trends



Contractors

2019 Q1 & Q2 Direction 2019 Q3 & Q4 Outlook To a large extent rating is highly Expect this to continue with some dependent upon client specific factors, Pricing/ carriers coming off insureds perceived most notably, claims history. On "clean" Rates as poor risks. risks, expected rate change: 0% to -5%. Many clients have increased their limits due to the perceived Expect the trend to continue, we are seeing alternative delivery severity of professional liability loses. In addition, owner requirements are procurement models driving requests more restrictive and the demand for for higher limits, particularly on higher professional liability limits is project policies. becoming more common. No material change is expected, though there are indicators that carriers Most clients have maintained their Deductibles/ are pushing (forcing) higher retentions deductible/retention levels. on larger clients to offset the risk of claims deterioration. No material changes on annual programs. On projects we are seeing No material changes in the \leftrightarrow insurers "chasing rates," which often annual programs. yields a standard policy form with little to no manuscripting. Capacity is expected to level off Excess professional liability insurers Capacity/ as insurers re-evaluate their portfolios offered an abundance of capacity, **Appetite** (decreasing appetite out of Lloyd's often in excess of \$200M. of London). Claims activity in the construction We expect this trend to continue, sector was fairly constant, but claims with year over year escalation in claim severity increased. values and defense costs.

Contacts

To learn more about our Design and Construction services, please contact:

Mark J. Peterson +1.402.203.5396 mark.peterson1@aon.com

Michael Earp +1.312.381.1187 michael.earp@aon.com

Ante Petricevic +1.403.267.7874 ante.petricevic@aon.ca

About Aon

Aon plc (NYSE:AON) is a leading global professional services firm providing a broad range of risk, retirement and health solutions. Our 50,000 colleagues in 120 countries empower results for clients by using proprietary data and analytics to deliver insights that reduce volatility and improve performance.

© Aon plc 2019. All rights reserved.

This publication is distributed with the understanding that Aon does not render legal services or advice, and nothing contained in this publication should be viewed as such. Although all reasonable care has been taken in preparing this publication, Aon accepts no responsibility for any errors it may contain, whether caused inadvertently or otherwise, or for any losses allegedly attributable to it. Nothing contained in this publication should be construed as establishing or recommending any specific guidelines for legal practice or law office management.

aon.com

