Every company should have an objective to implement best practice for corporate governance. Captive insurance companies are no exception.

Airmic is delighted to publish this Guide produced in partnership with Aon plc and informed by contributions from Airmic members. As captive insurance companies become more sophisticated and attract increasing levels of regulatory scrutiny, the role of Independent Non-Executive Directors (iNEDs) on the board has continued to grow and play a central part in the development of effective levels of governance and the provision of expert insight.

The Guide is designed as a resource for individuals currently serving as directors on captive boards, or considering an appointment in the future. A Practical Guide for Independent Non-Executive Directors on Captive Boards suggests a range of simple but important steps that can be taken to ensure captive company board oversight is fit for purpose and contains valuable information for captive managers and captive owners when considering new appointments to the board. It is also relevant for risk managers who want to re-evaluate the effectiveness of their captive's board and Independent Non-Executive Directors (iNEDs).

This Guide makes good business sense as captives grow in sophistication and complexity.

Julia Graham
Deputy CEO and Technical Director, Airmic
DEFINITIONS:

Director An appointed or elected member of the board of directors of a company who, with other directors, has the responsibility for determining and implementing the company’s policy. Directors act on the basis of resolutions made at directors’ meetings, and derive their powers from the corporate legislation and from the company’s articles of association.

Officer A person who acts in an official capacity on behalf of an organisation, such as a company secretary, executive or manager.

It should be noted that the terms Director and Officer are not legally defined and therefore these definitions should be taken as guidance only.
INTRODUCTION

Captive insurance companies are becoming increasingly sophisticated and insuring more complex risks than ever before. The addition of new lines for incubation of data or cover such as employee benefits and cyber is also creating the need for more robust governance and a wider range of expertise on the captive board.

In the face of rising scrutiny from national tax authorities and the Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the captive’s close relationship to its parent (the insured) is another reason to demonstrate independence, diversification and an ‘arm’s length’ approach.

A captive’s board, when composed of a combination of appropriate individuals from the insured and expert independents, plays an essential role by holding the captive’s operation to account, providing independent oversight and expert insight. Independent directors are key to demonstrating and delivering the substance, good governance and independence increasingly demanded from regulators and tax authorities around the world.

Captive boards commonly contain representatives from the parent organisation. Captive non-executive directors provided by the parent’s treasury, legal or company secretariat function may provide an independent view from the risk and insurance management function, but their employment by the captive’s key (or only) policyholder means that true independence must be sought further afield.

It is the role of these independent non-executive directors (INEDs) that is the subject of this Guide.

There is no prescriptive or ‘one size fits all’ approach to forming or refreshing a captive board, but this Guide, produced by Airmic in partnership with Aon, has been designed as a resource for individuals currently serving as directors on captive boards or considering an appointment in the future. The Guide also contains valuable information for captive managers and captive owners when they are considering new appointments to the board.
WHAT IS AN iNED?

In the corporate world, an iNED (also known as an outside director) is an individual who is a director (member) of the board of directors and who does not have a material or pecuniary relationship with the company or related persons, other than sitting fees.

“Apart from their directors’ fees and shareholdings, they should be independent of management and free from any business or other relationship which could materially interfere with the exercise of their independent judgement. It is for the board to decide in particular cases whether this definition is met.”\(^1\) according to the 1992 Cadbury Report, which has influenced the UK Corporate Governance Code. The iNED’s role is to provide a creative contribution to the board by providing independent oversight and constructive challenge to the executive directors.

The 1992 Cadbury Report initiated a debate about the main functions and responsibilities of non-executive directors and iNEDs. Today, it is widely accepted that iNEDs have an important contribution to make to the proper running of companies.

As the Cadbury Report stated regarding non-executive directors more broadly, “[they] should bring an independent judgement to bear on issues of strategy, performance and resources including key appointments and standards of conduct”\(^2\). This is just as true for independent non-executive directors.

There is no legal distinction between executive and non-executive directors. As a consequence, in the UK unitary board structure, NEDs and iNEDs have the same legal duties, responsibilities and potential liabilities as their executive counterparts. Clearly, it is appreciated that iNEDs cannot give the same continuous attention to the business of the company.

However, it is important that they show the same commitment to its success as their executive colleagues.

The UK Corporate Governance Code views a director’s independence as being potentially impaired if they:\(^3\)

- are or have been an employee of the company or group within the last five years
- have, or have had within the last three years, a material business relationship with the company or group, either directly or as a partner, shareholder, director or senior employee of a body that has such a relationship with the company or group
- have received or receive additional remuneration from the company or group apart from a director’s fee, participate in the company’s share option or a performance-related pay scheme, or are a member of the company’s pension scheme
- have close family ties with any of the company’s or group’s advisers, directors or senior employees
- hold cross-directorships or have significant links with other directors through involvement in other companies or bodies
- represent a significant shareholder, or
- have served on the board for more than nine years from the date of their first appointment.

---

\(^2\) The Cadbury Report. Section 4.11
\(^3\) UK Corporate Governance Code, Financial Reporting Council
WHAT BENEFITS DO iNEDS BRING FOR CAPTIVE INSURANCE COMPANIES?

Due to a captive’s size and function, its board size is typically smaller than that of a traditional corporate board. However, it must still fulfil the same functions as any other corporate board and provide oversight on finance, legal, risk and insurance, and governance. Other directors will usually be provided by the parent – they may come from the risk and insurance, legal, treasury, finance or company secretariat function. The captive manager may also be represented on the board, but they would not qualify as an iNED.

An independent director will provide independent thought, question the decisions of the captive and challenge the quality of service provision. They can also be used to fill essential skills gaps or provide broader insight into the sector the company is active in.

The iNED will provide an extra pair of eyes to ensure that all corporate governance and compliance requirements are being met sufficiently and to ask questions above and beyond a compliance-led approach.

Depending on the expertise and insight that they bring to the board, they should ask questions or probe areas that would otherwise have gone unaddressed by other directors. If the iNED is a claims specialist, for example, they may take the initiative to visit the claims-handling department servicing the captive to gain greater insight and provide feedback to the board. This should be a ‘walking the floor’ exercise without interfering with the management of the parent or the captive suppliers.

A truly independent and proactive iNED will also assist the company in demonstrating to the local regulator that the captive takes its governance requirements and role as a regulated entity seriously.
ARE iNEDS COMPULSORY FOR CAPTIVES?

It depends on the jurisdiction in which the captive is domiciled. United Kingdom Plc-owned captives are regularly found in Guernsey, Isle of Man and the Republic of Ireland, and occasionally in a handful in other locations, principally Malta, Gibraltar, Luxembourg, United States domiciles, Bermuda, Cayman Islands and Singapore.

The table below outlines where iNeds are compulsory and the relevant guidance for each jurisdiction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domicile</th>
<th>Is an iNED required?</th>
<th>Is a resident director required?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bermuda</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guernsey</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (European Economic Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Man</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Not all captive regulators require the use of an iNED, but captives should seriously consider whether there is value in the independent thought and scrutiny they can bring,”
CHARLES WINTER, HEAD OF RISK FINANCE & COO, AON GLOBAL RISK CONSULTING
WHO QUALIFIES AS AN iNED?

The qualities required of an effective iNED will vary depending on the needs of the captive board. However, they will likely serve one or both of the following purposes, in addition to bringing valuable independence:

- Bring relevant skills and experience currently missing from the board. If the board chooses not to appoint the insured’s risk and insurance manager to the board, it might want to appoint an independent director experienced in risk management and insurance. Alternatively, if the parent-supplied directors cover legal, risk and insurance, but are lacking finance management expertise, it could seek an independent director experienced in this area.

- Offer insight into the sector of the parent company’s business. If the captive is owned by a hotel chain, for example, an individual experienced in the hospitality industry may be appealing.

Other characteristics that are important to consider when appointing a new iNED are:

- A proven track record of behaving with integrity and providing independent and sound advice in other board positions or senior roles in business

- The capability to understand and comply with the law

- Relevant professional qualifications

- Confidence, intuition and a proactive nature to challenge assumed wisdom and ask difficult and informed questions

- Finance and technology acumen

- The time capability to carry out their responsibilities diligently and effectively. Boards should consider the prospect’s current commitments before confirming an appointment. Candidates should also be conscious of their own time commitments and consider whether they have the capacity to exercise their duties and responsibilities effectively.

A captive board should collectively have a balance of skills, and a broad range of experience, hence an independent director does not necessarily have to have a risk and insurance background, if this skill is already addressed by the board.

ANN O’KEEFFE, CHIEF RISK OFFICER, CAPTIVE & INSURANCE MANAGEMENT, AON
The regulator in the local jurisdiction will also have its own 'Fit and Proper' test for assessing nominated directors. This test may consider areas such as the candidate's:

- competency and skills
- ability to devote adequate time to their role and responsibilities
- integrity and reputation
- track record and previous experience with regards to licensed entities
- own financial soundness. For example, have they ever declared bankruptcy?

In light of the OECD's work on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS), it is increasingly important that the captive is able to demonstrate control over its own decisions, such as those relating to risk acceptance, claims settlement, investments and selection of service providers.

While the outsourcing of some functions to third parties is acknowledged, the captive must be able to demonstrate that it has competent and relevant decision-makers within the entity itself. Outsourcing risk is viewed as a key risk by regulators in the traditional captive model, and a local iNED who has awareness of the required standard of service is important and something that group directors are unlikely to have.

Non-executive directors should have sufficient time to meet their board responsibilities. They should provide constructive challenge, strategic guidance, offer specialist advice and hold management to account.”

FRC CORPORATE GOVERNANCE CODE, 2018
An iNED, as with any director, will need to register with the local regulator and, in most jurisdictions, will need to be pre-approved before being appointed to the board. Some regulators also require a signed compliance statement when a new appointment to the board is made.

To date, it is common practice for a new director to receive a letter from the captive board confirming their appointment, terms and responsibilities, but these can vary in detail and formality. Best practice should see new directors receive a formal letter, accompanied by a service level agreement or contract, and a company induction pack.

The service level agreement or contract should clearly outline the director’s job, their responsibilities and what is expected of them. It should contain information on the director’s term of appointment, remuneration and their D&O insurance coverage.

An induction pack should include information on the parent company, its regulated activity, its latest set of financial results and an insurance thematic. A detailed information pack on the captive insurer, its financial health, what its role is and how it supports the insured should also be included.

The captive should consider putting new appointees through director awareness training to reinforce their responsibilities, provide updates on cyber security and GDPR, and outline how to declare conflicts of interests. A diligent iNED should be expected to request this information if it has not been provided up front.

“A contract, or service level agreement, signed by both parties is beneficial for the captive and the director so that responsibilities and expectations are clearly outlined in advance.

JULIA GRAHAM, DEPUTY CEO AND TECHNICAL DIRECTOR, AIRMIC
HOW IS AN iNED’S PERFORMANCE MEASURED AND CAN THEY BE REMOVED?

The existence of a service level agreement or contract is essential if a captive is serious about monitoring the performance of its directors. Just as a director cannot be entirely certain of their responsibilities without a formal agreement in place, so the rest of the board cannot hold individual members to account when they fall short if there is no clarity about their role. A contract or service level agreement should outline a fixed term of appointment. As discussed below, if the board follows the UK Corporate Governance Code, this will be a term of three years.

A director may be identified as underperforming if they begin to miss meetings, have clearly not read the materials in advance, are generally underprepared or do not show a clear understanding of the local laws and regulations. They should continue to demonstrate their independence and objectivity in promoting the captive’s interests, proving awareness of their arm’s-length role.

If it becomes clear that they are not fulfilling their responsibilities sufficiently, the board has a duty to address this. If the other directors do not take action, then they could become liable themselves.

Each year, the board should assess its own performance, with each director reviewing the performance of every other and submitting their feedback to the chair. If it is agreed that a particular director is underperforming, they should then be removed.

The board can choose not to renew the term of a director if it is felt that they are underperforming or if new skills are identified as lacking from the board’s composition. For example, it may become clear that actuarial skills are needed and so removing an INED to make room for a new, more relevant appointment is justified.

In more serious cases, a director could be suspected of misconduct. A misconduct charge could arise from a breach of confidentiality, a GDPR breach or not declaring a conflict of interest. The director could be liable to be sued by the parent organisation or face sanction from the local regulator. If the board does not take action upon finding the misconduct, it could also be liable to action by the parent and/or regulator. In some jurisdictions, regulated boards are required to assess their individual and collective performance annually, so this may become a requirement in more jurisdictions.

For proposed new iNEDs, captives should provide induction packs, introducing the new director to the captive and the parent company, and offer director training covering an overview of the captive entity,” ANN O’KEEFFE, CHIEF RISK OFFICER, CAPTIVE & INSURANCE MANAGEMENT, AON
WHAT IS AN iNED’S TERM AND HOW ARE THEY REMUNERATED?

The UK Corporate Governance Code applies a maximum term of nine years, which consists of three terms of three years. Once nine years have been completed by a director, the regulator will usually view the iNED as no longer being independent. If the captive wishes to retain the director beyond nine years, they would need to justify this to the local authority. Alternatively, the captive could appoint an additional iNED, while keeping the established director on the board.

iNEDs are usually remunerated on an annual fee basis. This should be outlined in the service level agreement or contract and be reviewed regularly – every three years is a good benchmark. The captive’s shareholders should review director fees and the directors should then be asked to agree to any changes.
Yes. The captive may want to counter ‘group think’ and increase diversity by ensuring the iNEDs outnumber the parent’s representatives and captive manager on the board. If the shareholder of the captive has a number of directors representing them on the board, it may be important to have more iNED involvement to support and demonstrate independent mind and management for regulatory and tax residence purposes. Guernsey, and some other substance codes, state that a majority of directors should be local if the captive wishes to be a tax resident.

Additionally, the captive’s parent may seek to add new specialist skills and experience to the board or broaden its expertise if it has completed a recent acquisition that significantly alters its risk profile. Captive boards are increasingly utilising ‘alternate directors’. These can be used in different ways, but commonly, two directors will alternate their attendance at board meetings while continuing to receive all board papers and minutes. This arrangement can bring greater diversity to the captive board and ensure a healthy pipeline of future directors.

“Captive governance will continue to come under scrutiny as tax authorities maintain a keen interest,”

JULIA GRAHAM, DEPUTY CEO AND TECHNICAL DIRECTOR, AIRMIC
FIVE KEY TAKEAWAYS FOR iNEDS

● **Contract.** Demand a contract or service level agreement that clearly outlines your job, responsibilities, term of appointment, remuneration and D&O insurance coverage.

● **Time.** Devote adequate time to read board papers, prepare for board meetings and fulfil your responsibilities.

● **Research.** Build a deep understanding of the captive parent’s business, its operations and risk profile.

● **Challenge.** Constructively challenge assumed wisdom and the relationship between the captive and its parent.

● **Value.** Provide independent knowledge and perspective when appropriate to add value to the captive board.
FIVE KEY TAKEAWAYS FOR CAPTIVE OWNERS

● **Diversity.** Build a captive board that achieves a range of appropriate skills and demonstrates substance.

● **Onboard.** Put time and effort into the induction of new iNEDs and ensure they are well equipped to contribute effectively. Provide them with a contract or service level agreement.

● **Educate.** Embrace benchmarking and encourage knowledge sharing among your directors.

● **Review.** Monitor the performance and contribution of your directors and whether they are meeting expectations and providing value.

● **Change.** Do not be afraid to alter the composition of your captive board if the captive’s profile or needs change.